VIRTUAL INVARIANTS OF QUOT SCHEMES ON 3-FOLDS

Andrea Ricolfi SISSA Trieste

Gauge theory and virtual invariants, Dublin

15 May 2019

Y: smooth 3-fold over C

F: locally free sheaf of rank r on Y

$$\operatorname{Quot}_{\mathbf{V}}(\mathbf{F},\mathfrak{n})=\{\,\mathsf{F} \twoheadrightarrow Q\mid \dim Q=0,\; \chi(Q)=\mathfrak{n}\,\}.$$

For instance, if r = 1,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Quot}_{\,\,{}^{\,\prime}}(\mathscr{O}_Y,\mathfrak{n}) &= \text{Hilb}^{\,\mathfrak{n}}(Y) \\ &= \{\, Z \subset Y \,|\, Z \text{ finite of length }\mathfrak{n} \,\}. \end{aligned}$$

PLAN OF THE TALK

Show that the Quot scheme

 $Quot_{\mathbf{Y}}(\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{n})$

carries:

- 1. a 0-dimensional virtual fundamental class (under some assumptions), and
- 2. a virtual motive in the sense of Behrend-Bryan-Szendrői.

A VIRTUAL MOTIVE for a scheme X is a motivic weight

$$[X]_{\text{vir}} \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{C}} = K_0(Var_{\mathbb{C}}) \big[\mathbb{L}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \big]$$

such that $\chi[X]_{vir} = \chi_{vir}(X)$, where

$$\chi_{\text{vir}}(X) = \sum_{\mathfrak{m} \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{m} \cdot \chi(\textbf{v}^{-1}(\mathfrak{m}))$$

is the integral of the Behrend function $\nu \colon X(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathbb{Z}$.

1. Virtual fundamental class

We assume Y is projective.

Idea: Identify a quotient $[F \rightarrow Q] \in Quot_{V}(F, n)$ with its kernel

$$S = \ker(F \twoheadrightarrow Q) \subset F$$
.

By "identify", we mean: between moduli of quotients and moduli of kernels, we seek:

- an isomorphism on tangent spaces, and
- an injection on obstruction spaces.

Assumption: F is simple and rigid.

Apply $\operatorname{Hom}(S, -)$ to $S \hookrightarrow F \twoheadrightarrow Q$:

$$\begin{array}{c} 0 \to \text{Hom}(S,S) \to \text{Hom}(S,F) \xrightarrow{\text{in}} \text{Hom}(S,Q) \\ \\ \xrightarrow{\eth} \text{Ext}^1(S,S) \to \text{Ext}^1(S,F) \to \text{Ext}^1(S,Q) \to \text{Ext}^2(S,S) \end{array}$$

Assumption: F is simple and rigid.

Apply $\operatorname{Hom}(S, -)$ to $S \hookrightarrow F \twoheadrightarrow Q$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 0 \to \text{Hom}(S,S) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\rho} \text{Hom}(S,Q) \\ & \xrightarrow{\partial} \text{Ext}^1(S,S) \to 0 \to \text{Ext}^1(S,Q) \to \text{Ext}^2(S,S) \end{array}$$

So, for F simple and rigid, we have

$$\text{Hom}(S,Q) \ \widetilde{\to} \ \text{Ext}^1(S,S)$$

$$\operatorname{Ext}^1(S,Q) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^2(S,S)$$

 $\text{if } S = \ker(F \twoheadrightarrow Q).$

We're after a perfect obstruction theory. If either

- (i) Y is Calabi-Yau, or
- (ii) $H^{>0}(\mathscr{O}_Y) = 0 = Ext^{>1}(F, F),$

then we get

$$\text{Hom}(S, S)_0 = \text{Ext}^3(S, S)_0 = 0.$$

Virtual dimension zero

In both cases (i) and (ii), there is a canonical isomorphism

$$\text{Ext}^1(S,S) \ \widetilde{\to} \ \text{Ext}^2(S,S)^*.$$

So the virtual dimension will eventually be

$$\mathbf{vd} = \mathbf{ext}^1 - \mathbf{ext}^2 = \mathbf{0}.$$

The obstruction theory will be symmetric (only) in the CY3 case.

Construction (classical)

S: universal kernel over $Y \times Quot \xrightarrow{p} Quot$. Split the trace

$$\mathbf{R}\mathscr{H}\mathrm{om}(\mathbb{S},\mathbb{S})_0 \to \mathbf{R}\mathscr{H}\mathrm{om}(\mathbb{S},\mathbb{S}) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{tr}} \mathscr{O}_{\mathsf{Y} \times \mathsf{Quot}}.$$

The truncated Atiyah class $\mathbb{A}(S) \in \operatorname{Ext}^1(S, S \otimes \mathbb{L}_{Y \times Quot})$ projects, via Verdier duality, to an element

$$\Phi \in \text{Hom}(\underbrace{Rp_*(R\mathscr{H}om(S,S)_0 \otimes \omega_p)[2]}_{\mathbb{E}}, \mathbb{L}_{Quot}).$$

This map $\mathbb{E} \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathbb{L}_{Quot}$ is an obstruction theory by [Huybrechts–Thomas] and is perfect by the vanishings $\operatorname{Ext}^i(S,S)_0=0,\ i=0,3.\ \phi$ is symmetric in the CY3 case.

Corollary. There is a 0-dimensional virtual class

$$[\operatorname{Quot}_{Y}(F,n)]^{\operatorname{vir}} \in A_{0}(\operatorname{Quot}_{Y}(F,n)),$$

so we can define

$$\mathsf{DT}_{\mathsf{F},\mathsf{n}} = \int_{[\mathsf{Ouot}_{\mathsf{Y}}(\mathsf{F},\mathsf{n})]^{\mathsf{vir}}} 1 \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Problem: Compute
$$\mathsf{DT}_{\mathsf{F}}(\mathsf{q}) = \sum_{\mathfrak{n} \geqslant 0} \mathsf{DT}_{\mathsf{F},\mathfrak{n}} \cdot \mathsf{q}^{\mathfrak{n}}$$

Answer & Speculation

In the Calabi-Yau case, we have

$$\boxed{ \mathsf{DT}_{\mathsf{P}}(\mathbf{q}) \stackrel{(*)}{=} \sum_{\mathfrak{n}} \chi_{\mathsf{vir}}(\mathsf{Quot}_{\mathsf{Y}}(\mathsf{F},\mathfrak{n})) \mathfrak{q}^{\mathfrak{n}} \stackrel{(\diamond)}{=} \mathsf{M}((-1)^{\mathsf{F}}\mathbf{q})^{\mathsf{FX}(\mathsf{Y})} }$$

where (*) is by [Behrend 2005] and (\diamond) is by [Beentjes-R 2018]. In case (ii) (F exceptional), one may ask if the analogue of the r=1 case holds:

$$\mathsf{DT}_{\mathsf{F}}(\mathsf{q}) \stackrel{?}{=} \mathsf{M}((-1)^{\mathsf{r}}\mathsf{q})^{\mathsf{rc}_3(\mathsf{T}_{\mathsf{Y}} \otimes \omega_{\mathsf{Y}})}$$

(work in progress)

2. Motivic refinement

Y any smooth 3-fold, F any vector bundle on Y. We construct

$$\big[\text{Quot}_Y(\textbf{F},n)\big]_{\text{vir}}\in \mathfrak{M}_{\mathbb{C}}=\textbf{K}_0(\text{Var}_{\mathbb{C}})\big[\mathbb{L}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\big]$$

such that $\chi[Quot_Y(F, n)]_{vir} = \chi_{vir}(Quot_Y(F, n))$.

r = 1: Behrend-Bryan-Szendrői did this (2013) on Hilbⁿ Y.

By [Beentjes-R], $Q_{\text{noise}}(G^{*}, \mathbf{n}) = \{df_{\mathbf{n}} = \mathbf{0}\}$ is a critical locus. Via motivic vanishing cycles [Denef-Loeser], we get

$$\left[\text{Quot}_{\mathbb{A}^3}(\mathscr{O}^r,n) \right]_{\text{vir}} = - \mathbb{L}^{-(2n^2 + rn)/2} \big[\varphi_{f_n} \big]$$

for the "local case", and one can compute (following [BBS])

$$\sum_{\mathbf{n}} \big[\mathrm{Quot}_{\mathbb{A}^3}(\mathscr{O}^{\mathbf{r}},\mathbf{n}) \big]_{\mathrm{vir}} t^{\mathbf{n}} = \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \prod_{k=0}^{\mathrm{rm}-1} (1 - \mathbb{L}^{2+k-\mathrm{rm}/2} t^m)^{-1}.$$

The relative theory endows the punctual Quot scheme $\operatorname{Quot}_{\mathbb{A}^3}(\mathscr{O}^r, \mathfrak{n})_0 \subset \operatorname{Quot}_{\mathbb{A}^3}(\mathscr{O}^r, \mathfrak{n})$ with a motivic weight

$$P_{r,n} \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{C}}$$
.

Define classes $\Omega_{r,n} \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{C}}$ via

$$\text{Exp}\left(\sum \Omega_{r,n}\cdot t^n\right) = \sum (-1)^{rn} P_{r,n}\cdot t^n.$$

(*) Methods developed in [Davison-R, to appear] show

$$\begin{split} \sum_{\mathfrak{n}\geqslant 0} (-1)^{\mathfrak{r}\mathfrak{n}} \left[\operatorname{Quot}_{\mathbb{A}^3}(\mathscr{O}^{\mathfrak{r}},\mathfrak{n}) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Hilb-Chow}} \operatorname{Sym}^{\mathfrak{n}} \mathbb{A}^3 \right]_{\operatorname{vir}} \\ &= \operatorname{Exp}_{\cup} \left(\sum_{\mathfrak{n} > 0} \Omega_{\mathfrak{r},\mathfrak{n}} \boxtimes \left[\mathbb{A}^3 \xrightarrow{\Delta_{\mathfrak{n}}} \operatorname{Sym}^{\mathfrak{n}} \mathbb{A}^3 \right] \right). \end{split}$$

(**) The class

$$\Omega_{r,n} = (-1)^{rn} \mathbb{L}^{-\frac{3}{2}} \cdot \mathbb{L}^{\frac{r(1-n)}{2}} \frac{\mathbb{L}^{rn} - 1}{\mathbb{L}^r - 1} \big[\mathbb{P}^{r-1} \big]_{\text{vir}} \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{C}}$$

is an effective motive.

... (*) + (**) imply the power structure identity

$$\sum_{n} \left[\text{Quot}_{\mathbb{A}^3}(\mathscr{O}^r, n) \right]_{\text{vir}} t^n = \left(\sum_{n} \mathsf{P}_{r,n} t^n \right)^{\mathbb{L}^3} \in \mathfrak{M}_{\mathbb{C}}[[t]].$$

 \rightsquigarrow Following [BBS], define $\left[\begin{array}{c} Q_{\text{not}}_{Y}(F,n) \end{array}\right]_{\text{vir}}$ by

$$\sum_{n} \left[\operatorname{Qnot}_{Y}(\mathbf{f}, n) \right]_{vir} t^{n} = \left(\sum_{n} \mathsf{P}_{r,n} t^{n} \right)^{[Y]}.$$

GENERAL FORMULA

F vector bundle on a 3-fold. Then

$$\sum_{n} \big[Quot_Y(F,n) \big]_{vir} ((-1)^r t)^n =$$

$$\text{Exp}\left((-1)^rt\left[Y\times\mathbb{P}^{r-1}\right]_{\text{vir}}\text{Exp}\big((-\mathbb{L}^{-\frac{1}{2}})^rt+(-\mathbb{L}^{\frac{1}{2}})^rt\big)\right).$$

HIGHER RANK (MOTIVIC) DT INVARIANTS (EXAMPLES)

F: stable rigid vector bundle on a CY3 Y.

Then $Quot_Y(F,n) \subset M^{st}_H(\operatorname{ch} F - (0,0,0,n))$ is a connected component and the rank r classical DT invariants

$$\mathsf{DT}_{\mathsf{F}}(\mathsf{q}) = \mathsf{M}((-1)^{\mathsf{r}}\mathsf{q})^{\mathsf{r}\chi(\mathsf{Y})}$$

get refined (up to a sign) by the previous formula.

HIGHER RANK COHOMOLOGICAL DT

Say Quot_{A3}($\mathscr{O}^r, \mathfrak{n}$) = { d f_n = 0 }. The MHS on the total vanishing cycle cohomology

$$H_c\left(\operatorname{Quot}_{\mathbb{A}^3}(\mathscr{O}^r,\mathfrak{n}), \bullet_{\mathfrak{l}_n}\right)$$

is *pure* of Tate type for all n.

Proof. Critical locus description via quivers + a theorem of B. Davison.

OPEN PROBLEM

F: stable rigid vector bundle on a CY3 Y.

Then $Quot_Y(F, n)$ inherits an orientation such that the induced virtual motive [Bussi–Joyce–Meinhardt] equals $\left[Quot_Y(F, n)\right]_{vir}$?